

App. No. 10/710,960

REMARKS - General

The claims have been rewritten as a system claim which should address the Examiner's Section 112 and Section 101 rejections.

The claims have also been rewritten so that the invention is non-obvious in regards to the 103 rejection based on Friesen, et al., USPUB 2003/0050806 in view of any of the single references Watanble, USPUB 2003/0074236, Fitzgerald USPUB 2204/0039612 and Altman USPUB 2003/0120526. Material has been added to the base claims that are not disclosed in Friesen which Applicant contends makes the new claims novel and non-obvious to someone skilled in the arts.

Based on the Examiner's comment on Claim 37, Seat number has been added to historic data which is defined in the Specification and has been used to replace the wording Seat assignments and this has been added to the base independent claims. This should address the Examiner's rejection of Claim 37 on Page 6 of the rejections. Since seat number is the same thing as seat assignment and since Freisen does not disclose seat assignment or number based on Examiners conclusion on Page 8 of the Office Action this change makes the claims patentable over prior art and address the Section 103 rejection.

Also applicants have rewritten all claims to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the technical rejections and define the invention patentably over the prior art.

Conclusion


For all of the above reasons, applicant submits that the specification and claims are now in proper form, and that the claims all define patentably over prior art. Therefore the applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,


Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq.
Registration No. 38,146

I hereby certify I have transmitted this paper by fax to the Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300 on April 28, 2008.

April 28, 2008.


Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq., Reg. No. 38,146.